IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION Nos. 10446 & 10448 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

JIVAKORBA MAFATLAL MAHILA MANDIR (JYOTI SANGH) - Petitioner(s)
Versus
JYOTSNABEN MANILAL SONI - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR DIPAK R DAVE for Petitioner in both matters.
MR NC NAYAK for Respondents
MS JYOTSNA M AMIN for Respondents
==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date : 29/03/2006

COMMON ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr.Dipak Joshi, learned Advocate appearing on behalf of Mr.Dipak R.Dave for the petitioner in both the matters

places on record a settlement agreement dated 23rd Feb.2006 which has been signed by the respondents in each of the matters as well as the Vice President of the petitioner Organization, with the signatures of the parties, being verified by the Advocate of the petitioner and the representative of the respondents. He has also placed on record the vouchers and receipts duly signed by the respondents showing payment made to each one of the respondents in terms of the settlement.

2. In light of the settlement arrived at between the parties, learned Advocate for the petitioner states that the petitioner does not have any grievance as the award has been satisfied in terms of the settlement.

3. Both the petitions are accordingly disposed of in terms of the settlement. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

(D.A.Mehta, J.)

Sreeram.